

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgania 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/052,779	10/052,779 01/23/2002		Atsushi Kawasumi	005405.00004	7218	
22907	7590	07/22/2003				
	& WITC		EXAMINER			
SUITE 110	-		CUNNINGHAM, TERRY D			
WASHINGTON, DC 20001				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2816		
				DATE MAILED: 07/22/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				an					
	Applicati n No.		Applicant(s)						
Office Action Supercont	10/052,779		KAWASUMI, ATS	USHI					
Office Action Summary	Examin r		Art Unit						
TI MANUNIO DATE CHI	Terry D. Cunningham		2816						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspond nce address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u>	June 2003 .								
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ TI	his action is non-final.								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,9-11,17,19,21 and 22</u> is/are pending in the application.									
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9-11 and 17</u> is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,19,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:									
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notic	ce of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No atent Application (PT						

Art Unit: 2816

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to because, as understood, the drain of 122 of Fig. 6 should be shown as an output rather than ground. Appropriate correction is required. Note, Applicant may no longer request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.85(a).

Examiner has fully considered Applicant's remarks for the above rejection and has not found them to be persuasive. Nor are these remarks understood. Examiner contends that none of the remaining figures would be understood if the arrows were merely referring to "a lower voltage". Further, Examiner contends that such an arrow is not known in the art to have such a representation. As seen in the cited art, e.g., Guliani, an arrow conventionally refers to ground, regardless of Applicants contention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as based on a disclosure which is not enabling. In claim 19, a claimed means or element corresponding to, for example, elements 111 and 115 of Fig. 4 or 7 is deemed critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but is not included in the claim(s). In claim 21, a claimed means or element corresponding to, for example, elements 115, 121 and 122 are deemed critical or essential to the practice of the invention, but are not included in the claim(s). An arrangement lacking these



Art Unit: 2816

features is not enabled by the disclosure since it cannot be understood from the specification how the circuit will operate without such. *In re Mayhew*, 527 F.2d 1229, 188 USPQ 356 (CCPA 1976).

Examiner has fully considered Applicant's remarks for the above rejection and has not found them to be persuasive. Applicant merely states that the elements are not "critical" and that "other elements may be used". However, it is more than clear from the specification that the interaction between elements 111 and 112 is critical for the operation to operate as claimed. Further, regarding the discussion of "other elements", nowhere has Examiner stated that the claim must recited the specific elements disclosed. Clearly, some broad means or circuit can be recited that encompasses the disclosed elements.

Again, Examiner suggests that "node", first occurrence, in line 3 of claim 19 be changed to "voltage circuit". Further, it is suggested that claim 21 to state that the "first voltage" and "second voltage" are provided by --a voltage circuit--.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 19, line 3, there are two occurrences of "a node". In line 7, it is not understood which "node" is being referred to. In lines 8-15, it is not understood how the circuit can have the recited operation when there has been no recitation of the connections of the subtractors to the drains of the "compensation PMOS transistors".

Claim 21 is rejected for similar reasons as claim 19.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,779

Art Unit: 2816

Examiner has fully considered Applicant's remarks for the above rejections, however, it is not seen that such directly addresses the issue concerning the "drain".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. §102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless —

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Guliani (USPN 5,109,187). Guliani discloses, in Fig. 2, a circuit comprising: "a first PMOS transistor (42)"; "a second PMOS transistor (32 or 44)"; and "a compensation circuit (remainder of circuit)" having "at least one compensation PMOS transistor (16)", all connected and operating similarly as recited by Applicant.

Examiner has fully considered Applicant's remarks for the above rejection and has not found them to be persuasive. Contrary to Applicant's remarks, it is clear that the voltage reference circuit of Guliani has negative feedback. Due to this arrangement, the circuit must necessarily provide the compensation recited in the claims.

Due to the present lack of enablement and indefiniteness in claims 19 and 21, allowable subject matter cannot be determined therein.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Application/Control Number: 10/052,779

Art Unit: 2816

Page 5

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Terry Cunningham whose telephone number is 703-308-4872.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Timothy P. Callahan can be reached on 703-308-4876. The fax phone numbers for

Technology Center 2800 are 703-872-9318 for Before Final communications and 703-872-9319

for After Final communications. Please note, any faxed paper clearly stating **DRAFT** or

PROPOSED AMENDMENT at the top will be forwarded directly to the Examiner. All others

will be treated as a formal response and acted upon accordingly.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TC

July 16, 2003

Terry D. Cunning. Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2816